

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,055	08/18/2003	Thomas K. Reusche	14809US02	3111
23446	7590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER .	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			NGUYEN, TRINH T	
SUITE 3400	ADISON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, 1	HICAGO, IL 60661		3644	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
		10/643,055	REUSCHE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trinh T Nguyen	3644				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - Extended after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,26 and 35-57 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12,14-25 and 27-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , ,).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 10/5/04 has been entered and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14-18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27-30, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kajisono (US 5,336,399).

For claim 1, Kajisono discloses a water agitation system configured to be positioned within a water retention structure configured to receive and retain water, said system comprising:

a main body positionable within a water retention area of the water retention structure, said main body comprising a base (10) removably intercormected to a cover (16), and an inner compartment defined between said base and cover; and

an agitator (30, 31, 32) operatively connected to a motor (40) housed within said main body, said agitator connected to a distal end of a drive shaft (30) that extends outwardly from said main body, said motor configured to rotate said agitator in order to impart motion to water retained within the water retention structure,

said motor being positioned within said inner compartment.

Art Unit: 3644

For claims 2 and 15 and 28, Kajisono discloses said water retention structure is a basin of a bird bath (note that Kajisono's water agitation system is capable of being used in a bird bath (see lines 63-68 of col. 2 and lines 62-68 col. 6)).

For claims 3 and 16 and 29, Kajisono discloses said water retention structure is a livestock water trough (note that Kajisono's water agitation system is capable of being used in a livestock water trough (see lines 63-68 of col. 2 and lines 62-68 col. 6)).

For claims 4 and 17 and 30, Kajisono discloses said water retention structure is one of a swimming pool, water tower, and pond (see lines 63-68 of col. 2 and lines 62-68 col. 6).

For claims 5 and 18, Kajisono discloses said cover is dome shaped (see Figure 3).

For claims 7 and 20, Kajisono discloses a support member (17) configured to support said main body above a bottom surface of the water retention structure.

For claims 8 and 21, Kajisono discloses the support member comprises a plurality of legs (17) that extend downwardly from said main body.

For claims 10 and 23 and 33, Kajisono discloses said motor is electrically connected to a standard electrical outlet (70).

For claims 12 and 25, Kajisono discloses said agitator comprises at least one blade (40-50 of col. 4 and Figure 7) extending from a lateral surface of said drive shaft that is rotatably driven by said motor.

For claim 14 (see claim 1 above for similar claimed features), Kajisono discloses a water agitation system for use with a water retention structure comprising:

Art Unit: 3644

a motor operatively connected to a proximal end of a drive shaft;

a base supporting said motor:

to water retained within the water retention structure.

configured to receive and retain water, said system comprising:

a cover positioned over said motor, said cover being removably interconnected to said base and an inner compartment defined between a perimeter of said base and said cover, said motor being positioned within said inner compartment; and a blade assembly (40-50 of col. 4 and Figure 7) extending outwardly from said

drive shaft, said motor operable to rotate said blade assembly in order to impart motion

For claim 27 (see claim 1 above for similar claimed features), Kajisono discloses a water agitation system adapted to be positioned within a water retention structure

a main body positioned within a water retention area of the water retention structure, said main body having a base removably secured to a cover, and an inner compartment defined between said base and cover,

support members (17) supporting said main body above a bottom surface of the water retention structure; said support members comprising a plurality of legs that extend downwardly from said main body;

an agitator operatively connected to a motor positioned within said inner compartment of said main body, said agitator connected to a distal end of a drive shaft that extends outwardly from said main body, said agitator having at least one blade extending from a lateral surface of said drive shaft that is rotatably driven by said motor in order to impart motion to water retained within the water retention structure.

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 19, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kajisono (US 5,336,399) in view of Official Notice.

As described above, Kajisono discloses most of the claimed invention except for a seal member interposed between the cover and the base.

However, an Official Notice is taken that the concept of using a seal member interposed between two structural members in order to prevent leakage and/or infiltration thus provide a better seal therebetween is old and well known technique in the art. It would have been obvious in view of Official Notice to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kajisono's water agitation system so as to include a seal member interposed between the cover and the base, in order to prevent leakage and/or infiltration thus provide a better seal therebetween the two members.

6. Claims 9, 22, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kajisono (US 5,336,399) in view of Wright (US 4,166,086).

As described above, Kajisono discloses most of the claimed invention except for the motor is battery powered.

Art Unit: 3644

Wright teaches a similar water agitation system as that of Kajisono in which Wright's system having a battery powered motor (see lines 66-68 of col. 2 and lines 1-3 of col. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kajisono's water agitation system so as to include a battery powered motor, in a similar manner as taught in Wright, for easy portability.

7. Claims 11, 24, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kajisono (US 5,336,399) in view of Earhart et al. (US 3,836,130).

As described above, Kajisono discloses most of the claimed invention except for at least one of a switch, timer and sensor for selectively activating and deactivating said motor.

Earhart et al. teach a similar water agitation system as that of Kajisono in which Earhart et al.'s system having at least one of a switch, timer and sensor for selectively activating and deactivating said motor (see lines 55-68 of col. 4 and lines 1-10 of col. 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kajisono's water agitation system so as to include either a switch, timer or sensor, in a similar manner as taught in Earhart et al., so that the motor can be activated and/or deactivated more efficiently.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 14-25, and 27-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3644

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

The examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trinh T Nguyen Patent Ex. Art Unit 3644 12/23/04 Page 8